

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/778,988	02/07/2001	Thomas V. Ressemann	TER1002USD1	6567
75	90 06/04/2003			
Thomas E. Popovich, Esq. Popovich & Wiles, PA IDS Center, Suite 1902			EXAMINER	
			JACKSON, SUZETTE JAMIE	
80 South 8th Str Minneapolis, M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	8
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	O

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/778,988	RESSEMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jackson J Suzette	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	S
THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	evoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply ich places the applicati	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•		
b) Mathematical The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advancement, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See I	MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extensi the final Office action; or (2) a	ion fee under as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	l be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed ar	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were i	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4, 8-10 and 22-24.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examine	er.
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	—· 1	,
0. Other:		Mhr. Ve	
		David H. Willse Primary Examine) Pr
1. Days 6/2/03			
Potent and Trademark Office			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Or PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Quiachon et al. teaches the stucture as claimed. The claims are article claims not method claims therefore the functional language (as stated in the Preliminary Amendment paper no. 2) of "common ilia artery and intenal iliac, and external iliac" has been interpreted in a broad manner. Quiachon et al. teaches the structure as claimed..